

PLANO, TX 75075

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Inited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

ADD. Box 130

Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 1285-0139US 7646 02/20/2004 Sig Badt JR. 10/783,856 **EXAMINER** 24587 7590 12/01/2006 ROSE, HELENE ROBERTA **ALCATEL USA** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3400 W. PLANO PARKWAY, MS LEGL2

> 2163 DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/783,856	BADT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Helene Rose	2163
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 February 2004</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	,
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	ate
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/20/04&7/18/05</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/783,856

Art Unit: 2163

Detailed Action

Page 2

1. Claims 1-30 have been presented for examination.

2. Claims 1- 30 have been rejected.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 2/20/2004 and 6/18/2005, accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by examiner.

Specification

4. The specification is objected to because of the following informality: On page 3 of the specification, paragraph [0005], wherein "<u>Inasmuch</u>" is defined, the appropriate spacing between words is needed. Appropriate correction is required.

Applicant is reminded to review the specification for minor informalities such as spelling errors, inconsistent terminology, spacing, numbering of elements, etc.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C – 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,856 Page 3

Art Unit: 2163

6. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Johnston-Watt et al (US Publication No. 2003/0115311, Date Filed: November 27, 2002).

Claims 1, 11 and 21:

Claims 1, 11 and 21 disclose a provisioning system, a service provisioning system, and a system for provisioning presence utilizing the same functionality. <u>Johnston-Watt</u> teaches a provisioning system, a service provisioning system, and a system for provisioning presence, comprising:

an ontology depository having at least one domain-specific ontology model for a particular presence application (paragraph [0050] and [0051], respectively, Johnston-Watt); and

a presence entity having a structure operable to reference a domain-specific ontology model of said ontology depository for customizing a presence client software module associated with said presence entity, whereby said presence entity becomes operable to engage in a particular presence application relating to said domain-specific ontology model (paragraph [0059], wherein it modifies the content for delivery to the mobile device based on information from the user's profile and business rules defined in the business domain ontology that is applicable for the user's place, time and role, wherein a content manager modifies content to be delivered to mobile users and in order to do this it subscribes to profile topics and a selector subcomponent maintains a dynamic model of what constitutes relevant content for a particular user, wherein this model is modified on-the-fly to reflect the dynamic changes to users' profiles as they move through time and space, and so forth, and therefore the content subscriptions reflect the relevance of particular topics, Johnson-Watt).

Art Unit: 2163

Claims 2, 12, and 22:

Claims 2, 12, and 22, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said at least one domain-specific ontology model for a particular presence application <u>comprises</u> a Unified Markup Language (UML)-based data model (paragraph [0053], wherein the adaptive technology framework, i.e. ATF object model is specified using an UML, Johnston-Watt).

Claims 3, 13, and 23:

Claims 3, 13, and 23, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said at least one domain-specific ontology model for a particular presence application <u>comprises</u> an Extended Markup Language (XML)-based data model (paragraph [0053], wherein the domain metadata is specified in industry standard from using extended markup language (XML), Johnston-Watt).

Claims 4, 14, and 24:

Claims 4, 14, and 24, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said at least one domain-specific ontology model for a particular presence application <u>comprises</u> a semantic net data model (paragraph [0054], wherein use rules base semantic mark up in order to publish content on domain specifics topics, and so forth, Johnson-Watt)

Claims 5, 15, and 25:

Claims 5, 15, and 25, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said at least one domain-specific ontology model for a particular presence application comprises a General Markup Language (GML)-based data model (paragraphs [0054] and [0055], wherein <OrgID>.CALENDAR.<GroupID>.<UserID> is defined, and so forth, and wherein this is interpreted to be the GMI, wherein GMI originated the use of <> and / for the markup and is still

used for document applications, Johnston-Watt).

Claims 6, 16, and 26:

Claims 6, 16, and 26, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said at least one domain-specific ontology model for a particular presence application comprises a data model based on a semantic software application selected from the group consisting of a Resource Description Framework (RDF) application, an Ontology Inference Layer (OIL) application, an Ontology Web Language (OWL) application, a Semantic Web Initiative (SWI)-compliant application, and a Meta Object Framework (MOF) application (paragraph [0062], wherein the adaptive delegation controller layer is made up of a number of sub-components, which is interpreted to be the ontology interference layer; paragraph [0052], wherein emerging web services is defined and [0054], wherein they use rules base semantic markup in order to publish content on domain specific contents, which is interpreted to be the semantic web; and paragraph [0053], wherein paragraph [0053], wherein the resource definition facility documents as defined by W3C, is interpreted and equivalent to resource description framework and together with a domain specific topic hierarchy these can be thought of as constituting a primitive ontology: taxonomy+set of axioms/rules and the ATF is constructed using a combination of generic ontologies such as Dublin Core and industry specific ontologies such as IBM's Insurance Application Architecture, which is interpreted to be the ontology web language and meta object framework, Johnston-Watt)

Claims 7, 17, and 27:

Claims 7, 17, and 27, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said structure operable to reference a domain-specific ontology model comprises a presence client software module operable to

invoke a Universal Resource Locator (URL) path associated with said ontology depository including said domain-specific ontology model (paragraph [0023], wherein the term "content" encompasses application or domain specific data and The term also incorporates an abstract of content or a link to content, wherein the link to content is interpreted to be the URL, Johnston-Watt).

Claims 8, 18, and 28:

Claims 8, 18, and 28, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said structure associated with said presence entity is operable to dynamically reference a domain-specific ontology model of said ontology depository (paragraphs [0050], [0052], [0053], wherein an ontology represents the data model rules that determine how the ATF modifies the information and services delivered to the mobile device and so forth and wherein the ATF is constructed using a combination of generic ontology's such as IBM's insurance application architecture, and wherein domain metadata is specified and so forth, and [0059], respectively, Johnston-Watt).

Claims 9, 19, and 29:

Claims 9, 19, and 29, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said structure associated with said presence entity is operable to effectuate a static reference to a domain-specific ontology model during said structure's compile time (paragraph [0056], respectively, Johnston-Watt).

Claims 10, 20, and 30:

Claims 10, 20, and 30, Johnston-Watt teaches wherein said particular presence application is selected from the group consisting of transportation applications, shipping and delivery applications (paragraphs [0061], wherein enables the content manager to interface to the preferred delivery mechanism, Johnston-Watt), premises security monitoring applications,

Art Unit: 2163

private enterprise applications (paragraph [0023], respectively, Johnston-Watt), government agency applications, and instant messaging applications (paragraph [0026], wherein this is a set of distributed server components that implements rule based selection; paragraph [0059], respectively, Johnston-Watt)

Prior Art of Record

1. Trossen et al. (US Publication No. 2005/0136946)

2. Johnston-Watt et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0115311)

3. Trossen et al. (US Publication No. 2004/0260749)

Point of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene Rose whose telephone number is (571) 272-0749. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,856 Page 8

Art Unit: 2163

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HRR Technology Center 2100 November 24, 2006

ALFORD KINDRED PRIMARY EXAMINER